IV.—ADJOURNMENT MOTION *RE* SITUATION IN PONDICHERRY BORDER AREAS.

MR. SPEAKER :—" Hon. Member Sri Ramalingam has given notice of an adjournment motion which reads as follows :—

' This House stands adjourned to discuss a matter of urgent public importance, viz.,

" the failure of the Government to safeguard the security of our citizens residing in Kattuppalayam, a village in the Indian Union near Pondicherry from the hands of French-Indian Police equipped with arms. " '

Does the Hon. the Leader of the House want to say anything in the matter? "

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" I do not have any information now with regard to all these incidents. Whenever full information in the matter is available, I shall make a statement about it if necessary. Perhaps from that point of view, this motion need not be taken up now, but may be considered later, Sir."

11-10 a.m.

SRI M. KALYANASUNDRAM :—" இந்தப்பிரச்னை மிகவும் முக்கியமானதாக இருப்பதனால், இது விஷயமாக துரிதமான நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கவேண்டுமாகையால், இதைப்பற்றி விவாதத்திற்கு ஒரு சந்தர்ப்பத்தை ஏற்படுத்தவேண்டுமென்று கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறேன்."

MR. SPEAKER :—" The Leader of the House will make a statement in due course. The next item is Demand No. XXI—Industries and Cinchona . . . "

V.—GOVERNMENT MOTION—MOTION UNDER RULE 22 (3) OF THE ASSEMBLY RULES *RE* CHANGE IN THE ORDER ON VOTING ON DEMANDS FOR GRANTS.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Sir, in the order in which the Demands have to be taken, I would like to have a change made. This is being suggested after consulting the Leaders of other parties. The two Demands, that is, Demand XXXIII—Road Transport Schemes—Working Expenses and Demand XLI—Capital Outlay on Schemes of State Trading may be taken up last, after consideration of Demand XV—Education."

MR. SPEAKER :—" We will take up ' Electricity,' ' Community Development Project ' and ' Road Transport Schemes ' each one one hour. Is it so? "

THE HON SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" We can take up ' Loans and Advances by the State Government ' also. Only Demands XXXIII and XLI will not be taken up and they may be taken up along with other Demands which would come under guillotine, in the order in which they are found in the Notice Paper. Now I move—

' *That under rule 22 (3) of the Legislative Assembly Rules, the two Demands, XXXIII—Road Transport Schemes—Working Expenses and XLI—Capital Outlay on Schemes*

of State Trading may be taken up in the order in which they appeared in the Notice Paper, dated 26th February 1954.' "

The motion was put and carried.

64697

VI.—VOTING ON DEMANDS FOR GRANTS FOR THE YEAR 1954-55—*cont.*

(1) DEMAND XXI—INDUSTRIES AND CINCHONA.

MR. SPEAKER :—" Now we shall take up Demand XXI—Industries and Cinchona."

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" Sir, on the recommendation of the Governor, I move—

'*That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs.* 1,69,46,600 *under Demand XXI—Industries and Cinchona.*'

" Sir, since the time is very short, I do not propose to make an introductory speech and I shall only answer the suggestions of hon. Members."

MR. SPEAKER :—" One hour is allotted for this Demand. Only one member from each party will be allowed to speak. The Hon. Minister will take 10 minutes for reply."

* SRI S. T. ADITYAN :—" Sir, considering the time allotted, I shall be very brief. I move—

'*That the allotment of Rs.* 1,69,46,600 *under Demand XXI—Industries and Cinchona be reduced by Rs.* 100.'

" Sir, if there is anything which unites all the Members of the Opposition, it is that we want rapid industrialization of the State and also increased employment to the employable people. The question arises whether we stand for nationalization. Some of us do, but most of us do not. And most of us are agreed that the present stage is not the stage for nationalization of the ordinary industries, whatever may be the case with bigger industries. On that my hon. Friend, Mr. Ramamurthi has made a categorical statement in one of his speeches in an earlier session that whatever happens to the major indutries, as far as minor industries are concerned, this is not the proper time or place for nationalization.

" Now, take the case of the Madras Bus Transport Industry. We find that it has a profit of Rs. 88 thousands. What is the actual capital that is invested in it? The Rs. 40 lakhs profit shown in the budget as profit of the industry includes the workshop and it makes a good profit. But the Bus Transport as such makes Rs. 88 thousands and what is the actual sum invested in this industry? If it were to be left to private management and if